Tight-Binding Description of the Coupled Defect Modes in Three-Dimensional Photonic Crystals
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We have experimentally observed the eigenmode splitting due to coupling of the evanescent defect modes in three-dimensional photonic crystals. The splitting was well explained with a theory based on the classical wave analog of the tight-binding (TB) formalism in solid state physics. The experimental results were used to extract the TB parameters. A new type of waveguiding in a photonic crystal was demonstrated experimentally. A complete transmission was achieved throughout the entire waveguiding band. We have also obtained the dispersion relation for the waveguiding band of the coupled periodic defects from the transmission-phase measurements and from the TB calculations.

PACS numbers: 42.70.Qs, 42.60.Da, 42.82.Et, 71.15.Fv

The artificially created three-dimensional (3D) periodic structures inhibit the propagation of electromagnetic (EM) waves in a certain range of frequencies in all directions [1,2]. In analogy with electronic band gaps in semiconductors, these structures are called photonic band gap (PBG) materials or photonic crystals [3,4]. The initial interest in this area came from the proposal to use PBG crystals to control spontaneous emission in photonic devices [1]. However, the technological challenges restricted the experimental demonstrations and relevant applications of these crystals to millimeter wave and microwave frequencies [5–7]. Recently, Lin and Fleming reported a photonic crystal with a band gap at optical frequencies [8,9]. With this breakthrough, initially proposed applications like thresholdless semiconductor lasers [10] and single-mode light-emitting diodes [11,12] became feasible.

By breaking the periodicity of the photonic crystal, it is possible to create highly localized defect modes within the photonic band gap, which are analogous to the localized impurity states in a semiconductor [13]. Photons hop from such a evanescent defect mode to the neighboring one due to overlapping of the tightly confined modes. This is exactly the classical wave analog of the tight-binding (TB) method in solid state physics [14,15]. The TB formulation has been proven to be very useful in studying electronic properties of solids [16,17]. Recently, the TB scheme was also successfully used for various photonic structures. Waveguiding along the impurity chains in photonic insulators [18], waveguiding through coupled resonators [19], and one-dimensional superstructure gratings [20] were theoretically investigated by using TB formalism. Lidorikis et al. tested the TB model by comparing the ab initio results of two-dimensional PBG structures with and without defects [21]. They obtained the TB parameters by an excellent fitting to ab initio results. Splitting of the coherent coupling of whispering gallery mode in quartz polystyrene spheres were reported and explained within the TB photon picture [22]. The optical modes in the micrometer-sized semiconductor coupled cavities were investigated by Bayer et al. [23].

In this Letter, we investigated experimentally and theoretically the coupling between localized cavity modes in a dielectric-based layer-by-layer 3D photonic crystal within the TB framework. We reported on the observation of the eigenmode splitting in the coupled cavities. The splitting was well explained by the TB photon picture. The TB parameters were extracted from the transmission measurements. We demonstrated a new type of waveguiding through localized defects. A complete transmission, nearly 100%, was observed throughout the entire waveguiding band. The measured dispersion relation for the waveguiding band agrees well with the TB results.

We used the layer-by-layer photonic crystal [24,25] based on square shaped alumina rods (0.32 cm × 0.32 cm × 15.25 cm), with center-to-center separation of 1.12 cm. The crystal exhibits a three-dimensional photonic band gap extending from 10.6 to 12.8 GHz. The experimental setup consists of a HP 8510C network analyzer and microwave horn antennas to measure the transmission-amplitude and transmission-phase properties of various defect structures built around photonic crystals (Fig.1). The defective unit cells were created by removing a single rod from a single layer of the cell, where each cell consists of four layers having the symmetry of a face centered tetragonal structure [26]. The electric field polarization vector of the incident EM wave was parallel to the rods of the defect layer for all measurements.

By using the aforementioned experimental setup, we first measured the transmission amplitude through a crystal with a single defective unit cell. This resulted in a localized defect mode within the PBG which is analogous to acceptor impurity state in semiconductor physics [13]. The defect mode occurred at a resonance frequency of $\Omega = 12.150$ GHz with a $Q$ factor (quality factor, defined as center frequency divided by the peak’s full width at half maximum) of ~1000 [Fig. 2(a)]. Next, we measured the transmission through the crystal that contains two consecutive single rod removed unit cells. We observed that the mode in the previous case split into two resonance modes at frequencies $\omega_1 = 11.831$ GHz and $\omega_1 = 12.402$ GHz [Fig. 2(b)]. The intercavity distance
for this structure was $a = 1.28$ cm, which corresponds to single unit cell thickness in the stacking direction. Figure 2(c) shows the transmission characteristics of a crystal having three consecutive defective directions. The figure compares the resonance frequencies, which were calculated from the Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \times [\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_\Omega(r)] = e_0(r)(\Omega/c)^2\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r),$$  

(1)

where $e_0(r)$ is the dielectric constant of the single defect and $\Omega$ is the corresponding eigenfrequency. Here we assumed that $\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r)$ is real, nondegenerate, and orthonormal, i.e., $\int dr e_0(r)\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) = 1$. This merely describes the experimental structure used for Fig. 2(a).

In the case of two coupled defects, the eigenmode can be written as a superposition of the individual evanescent defect modes as $\mathbf{E}_\omega(r) = A\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) + B\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r - a\hat{x})$. The eigenmode $\mathbf{E}_\omega(r)$ also satisfies Eq. (1) where $e_0(r)$ is replaced with the dielectric constant of the system $\epsilon(r) = \epsilon(r - a\hat{x})$ and $\Omega$ is replaced with eigenfrequency $\omega$ of the coupled defect mode.

Inserting $\mathbf{E}_\omega(r)$ into Eq. (1) and multiplying both sides from the left first by $\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r)$ and then by $\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r - a\hat{x})$ and spatially integrating resulting equations, the single defect mode $\Omega$ is split into two eigenfrequencies

$$\omega_{1,2}^2 = \Omega^2(1 \pm \alpha_1)/(1 \pm \alpha_1 + \Delta\alpha),$$  

(2)

where the TB parameters are given by $\alpha_1 = \int dr \times \epsilon(r)\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}_\Omega(r - a\hat{x})$, $\beta_1 = \int dr e_0(r)\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}_\Omega(r - a\hat{x})$, and $\Delta\alpha = \int dr [\epsilon(r) - e_0(r)]\mathbf{E}_\Omega(r) \cdot \mathbf{E}_\Omega(r)$. By inserting the experimentally obtained eigenfrequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ [see Fig. 2(b)] into Eq. (2), the TB parameters are determined as $\alpha_1 = -0.102$ and $\beta_1 = -0.149$. Here we assumed that $\Delta\alpha$ is negligible compared to $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1$.

This splitting is analogous to the splitting in the diatomic molecules, for example $\text{H}_2^+$, in which the interaction between the two atoms produce a splitting of the degenerate atomic levels into bonding and antibonding orbitals. Our results are the first direct experimental observation of the bonding/antibonding mechanism in a photonic crystal which was theoretically proposed by Antonoyiannakis and Pendry [27].

Similarly, for a system with three coupled defects, the eigenfrequency $\Omega$ is split into three resonant frequencies:

$$\Gamma_2 = \Omega^2,$$

$$\Gamma_{1,3}^2 = \Omega^2(1 \pm \sqrt{2}\beta_1)/(1 \pm \sqrt{2}\alpha_1),$$  

(3)

where we ignored the second nearest neighbor coupling between the cavity modes. This turned out to be a reasonable assumption for our case, since our experimental observation showed that the second nearest neighbor coupling parameters are 1 order of magnitude smaller than the first nearest neighbor coupling parameters. Table I compares the resonance frequencies, which were calculated by inserting TB parameters $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1$ into Eq. (3), with the values obtained from the experiment [Fig. 2(c)]. The experimentally measured three split modes coincide well with the theoretically expected values. This excellent
agreement shows that the classical wave analog of TB formalism is valid for our structure.

In the presence of the coupled periodic defect array, the eigenmode can be written as a linear combination of the individual defect modes [19,20]

$$E(x) = E_0 \sum_n \exp(-inka)E_n(x - na\hat{x}). \quad (4)$$

The dispersion relation for this structure can be obtained from Eqs. (1) and (4) keeping only the nearest neighbor coupling terms [18,19]

$$\omega^2(k) = \Omega^2 \frac{1 + 2\beta_1 \cos(ka)}{1 + \Delta\alpha + 2\alpha_1 \cos(ka)} \quad (5)$$

If the TB parameters, $\alpha_1$ and $\beta_1$, are small compared to unity, Eq. (5) is simplified to $\omega(k)/\Omega \approx 1 + \kappa_1 \cos(ka)$, where $\kappa_1 = \beta_1 - \alpha_1 = -0.047$.

When the number of defective unit cells is increased, a waveguiding band is expected to be formed due to the coupling of individual resonant modes. We measured the transmission through a ten unit cell crystal, where a single rod is removed in each unit cell. As shown in Fig. 3, the waveguiding band stands within the PBG extending from 11.47 to 12.62 GHz, with a bandwidth of $\Delta\omega = 1.15$ GHz. Near 100% transmission was observed throughout the waveguiding band. The amplitude of the parameter $\kappa_1$ can also be determined from the waveguiding bandwidth which gives us $|\kappa_1| = \Delta\omega/2\Omega \approx 0.047$.

which is exactly the same with a previously obtained value from the coupling of two cavities.

The dispersion relation for the waveguiding band can be obtained from the transmission-phase measurement as follows [28]. The net phase difference $\Delta\phi$ between the phase of the EM wave propagating through the photonic crystal and the phase of the EM wave propagating in free space for a total crystal thickness of $L$ is given by $\Delta\phi = kL - 2\pi fL/c$. This expression can be used to determine the wave vector $k$ of the crystal at each frequency $f$ within the waveguiding band.

Figure 4 shows the comparison of the measured (diamonds) and calculated (solid line) dispersion relations. As shown in Fig. 4, TB calculation gives good agreement with the measured result, and the deviations between the experiment and the theory are more pronounced around the edges of the waveguiding band. We expect this discrepancy to vanish as the number of unit cells used in the experiment is increased.

The inset in Fig. 4 shows the comparison of the theoretical (solid line) and experimental (dotted line) variation of the group velocity, $v_g(k) = d\omega(k)/dk = -\Omega\kappa_1 a \sin(ka)$, of the waveguiding band as a function of wave vector $k$. The theoretical curve is obtained from Eq. (5), while the experimental curve is obtained by taking the derivative of the best fitted cosine function to the experimental data. Notice that the group velocity vanishes at the waveguiding band edges [19,29]. It is important to note that, in the stimulated emission process, the effective gain is inversely proportional to the group velocity [30]. The group velocity can be made smaller if one can reduce the amplitude of the parameter $\kappa_1$. 

| $\Gamma_1$ | 11.708 | 11.673 |
| $\Gamma_2$ | 12.153 | 12.150 |
| $\Gamma_3$ | 12.506 | 12.492 |

TABLE I. The measured and calculated values of resonant frequencies for the crystal with three defective unit cells.
In conclusion, we have observed the splitting of the coupled localized cavity modes in the 3D layer-by-layer photonic crystal. We have also demonstrated formation of the waveguiding band within the stop band and compared the measured dispersion relation of the guiding band with the TB predictions. To our knowledge, these are the first reported measurements from which TB parameters and dispersion relation were directly obtained from the experiment. The excellent agreement between experiment and theory is an indication of the potential applications of the tight-binding scheme in the photonic structures.
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*Note added.—After submission of this manuscript, we have observed the splitting phenomena by using laser-micromachined alumina photonic crystals (W-band, 75–120 GHz). The TB parameter $k_1 = -0.045$ was found to be almost the same. Since the Maxwell’s equations have no fundamental length scale, we expect that our microwave and millimeter wave results can be extended to the optical frequencies.*
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