

Equilibrium distribution

postulate of maximum statistical entropy

- macrostate, fixed by a certain number of macroscopic variables, with which a large number of microstates are compatible
- need to construct an ensemble:

$$D = \sum_m P_m |\psi_m\rangle \langle \psi_m|$$

- how do we fix the probabilities?

- aim: choose the least biased P_m possible, using the available information only and nothing more

- if no information: least biased set of P_m 's is $P_m = 1/M$

- but we can have some information about the system (partial information)

(*) energy lies in an interval $E, E + \Delta E$, where ΔE is small on the macroscopic scale

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} \ll 1$$

ΔE is large on the microscopic scale

$$\Rightarrow \rho(E) \Delta E \gg 1 \Rightarrow \Delta E \gg 1/\rho(E)$$

microcanonical distribution:

$$H|\psi_r\rangle = E_r|\psi_r\rangle \Rightarrow E \leq E_r \leq E + \Delta E$$

(microcanonical ensemble)

$$P_m = 1/\mu \quad \mu = g(E)$$

$$D = \sum_r |\psi_r\rangle \frac{1}{\mu} \langle r|$$

* Other ensembles: averages are fixed, i.e.

average energy: $\text{Tr} HD = \bar{E}$, average particle

number: $\text{Tr} DN = \bar{N}$, etc.

in general we can write: $A_i = \text{Tr} \hat{D} \hat{A}_i$

to determine the probabilities, adopt postulate
of maximum entropy

postulate of maximum statistical entropy

the right density operator, consistent with
macroscopic constraints, yet maximally unbiased
is the one which maximizes the entropy
under the constraints

→ in this case the density operator
contains no information beyond what is
given

ensembles: canonical ensemble: $\bar{E} = \text{Tr } D H$
 fixed

grand-canonical ensemble:

$$\bar{E} = \text{Tr } D H \quad \bar{N} = \text{Tr } D N$$

in general:

~~$$\frac{1}{h} \tilde{S}_{st} [D] = -$$~~

constraints: $\text{Tr } \hat{D} \hat{A}_i = A_i \quad \text{Tr } D = 1$

$$\tilde{S}_{st} [D] = -\text{Tr } D \ln D + \sum_i \lambda_i (\text{Tr } \hat{D} \hat{A}_i - A_i) + \lambda_0 (\text{Tr } D - 1)$$

$$d\tilde{S} = -\text{Tr} [dD (\ln D - \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i - \lambda_0)] = 0$$

$$D = e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i + \lambda_0}$$

$$\text{Tr } D = 1 \Rightarrow \text{Tr } e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i} = e^{-\lambda_0}$$

$$D_B = \frac{e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i}}{\text{Tr } e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i}} \quad Z = \text{Tr } e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i}$$

density matrix of the equilibrium state
 called Boltzmann density matrix

$$\begin{aligned} S_B &= -k \text{Tr } D_B \ln D_B \\ &= -k \text{Tr } D_B \left[\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i - \ln Z \right] \\ &= -k \sum_i \lambda_i A_i + k \ln Z \end{aligned}$$

identity:

$$\text{Tr } X \ln Y - \text{Tr } X \ln X \leq \text{Tr } Y - \text{Tr } X$$

$$\sum_m \sum_a X_m \ln Y_a |K_{a|m}\rangle^2 - \sum_{m,a} X_m \ln X_m |K_{a|m}\rangle^2$$

Since $\sum_a |K_{a|m}\rangle^2 = 1$

$$\sum_m \sum_a X_m \ln \frac{Y_a}{X_m} |K_{a|m}\rangle^2 \leq \sum_a Y_a - \sum_m X_m$$

$$\ln x \leq x - 1 \quad \rightarrow$$

Using this identity we can show that the Boltzmann density matrix is not only an extremum but a maximum. Consider some other D (density matrix) also consistent with the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Tr } D \ln D &\leq -\text{Tr } D \ln D_B \\ &= -\text{Tr } D \frac{\ln e^{\sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i}}{\mathcal{Z}} \\ &= -\text{Tr } D \sum_i \lambda_i \hat{A}_i + \text{Tr } D \ln \mathcal{Z} \end{aligned}$$

$$-\text{Tr } D \ln D \leq -\sum_i \lambda_i A_i + \ln \mathcal{Z} = -\text{Tr } D_B \ln D_B$$

D_B is the unique solution to the equilibrium density matrix

Legendre transformation

Fluctuation - response

$$A_i = \text{Tr} \frac{\partial \hat{A}_i}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{\text{Tr} e^{\sum_j \lambda_j \hat{A}_j} A_i}{Z}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_j} = A_j}$$

thermodynamic average

Z is a function of the Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \Rightarrow Z(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$

we can also write

$$\frac{S_B}{k} = \ln Z - \sum_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_i} = \ln Z - \sum_i \lambda_i A_i$$

$$\frac{1}{k} dS_B = d \ln Z - \sum_i d\lambda_i A_i - \sum_i \lambda_i dA_i$$

$$d \ln Z = \sum_i A_i d\lambda_i$$

$$dS_B = -k \sum_i \lambda_i dA_i$$

Legendre transformation

it also holds that

$$\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = \langle \hat{A}_i \hat{A}_j \rangle - \bar{A}_i \bar{A}_j$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial \lambda_j}}_{\text{response}} = \underbrace{\langle \hat{A}_i \hat{A}_j \rangle - \bar{A}_i \bar{A}_j}_{\text{fluctuation}}$$

fluctuation-response theorem

can show that the matrix $\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j}$ is positive

definite

proof: define $\hat{U} = \sum_n b_n (\hat{A}_n - \bar{A}_n)$

$$\langle \hat{U}^2 \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n \neq k} b_n b_k \langle (\hat{A}_n - \bar{A}_n) (\hat{A}_k - \bar{A}_k) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq k} b_n b_k \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_n \partial \lambda_k} \geq 0$$

(here these are intercommuting operators

\hat{A}_i)

Canonical and Grand-Canonical

Ensembles

canonical: $\text{Tr} \hat{H} \hat{D} = \bar{E}$

in this case $\hat{D} = \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}$

β - determined later

grand canonical $\text{Tr} \hat{H} \hat{D} = \bar{E}$

$$\text{Tr} \hat{N} \hat{D} = \bar{N}$$

then $\hat{D} = \frac{e^{-\beta(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N})}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N})}}$

β, μ
determined later