

# Bethe ansatz for Heisenberg chain (XXX) ①

N spins - N - even number

(N even or odd makes a difference!)

$$S = 1/2$$

Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{n=1}^N (J_x S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + J_y S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + S_n^z S_{n+1}^z)$$

$$\vec{S}_n = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma}_n$$

$$\sigma_n^x = I \otimes I \otimes \dots \otimes \sigma_j^x \otimes \dots \otimes I$$

in general  $J_x \neq J_y \neq J_z \Rightarrow$  solution very difficult  
(Baxter)

here:  $J_x = J_y \neq J_z$  (XXX)

Hamiltonian:  $\rightarrow \frac{H(J_x, J_z)}{J_x} - \frac{N\Delta}{4}$

$$\tilde{H} = \sum_{n=1}^N \left[ \frac{1}{2} (S_n^+ S_{n+1}^- + S_n^- S_{n+1}^+) + \Delta (S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4}) \right]$$

$$\Delta = \frac{J_z}{J}$$

$-\frac{N\Delta}{4} \rightarrow$  energy offset: ferromagnetic reference state has  $E=0$

periodic boundary conditions:

(2)

$$\vec{J}_{n+N} = \vec{J}_n$$

- other interesting cases: - twisted boundary conditions

$$\vec{J}_{n+1} = e^{i\theta} \vec{J}_n$$

- open boundary conditions

- XY Z model: most difficult but strategy similar

- no realization as a magnetic model

- XXZ model:

$\Delta$  distinguished regimes

•  $\Delta > 1$ : easy axis antiferromagnetic

•  $-1 < \Delta < 1$ : planar regime

•  $0 < \Delta < 1$  planar antiferromagnetic

•  $-1 < \Delta < 0$  planar ferromagnetic

•  $\Delta < -1$  easy axis ferromagnetic

- other possibilities:

XXX spin chain ( $\Delta = 1$ )

XY spin chain ( $\Delta = 0$ )

$\Rightarrow$  Jordan - Wigner transformation

$\Rightarrow$  noninteracting fermion model

$\Delta \rightarrow \infty$  Ising model

# symmetry of spin-chain

(3)

$$\boxed{U H(\Delta) U^{-1} = -H(-\Delta)}$$

exercise/quiz

$\Rightarrow$  if we have solution to  $\Delta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$  solution will be the same for  $\Delta < 0$ , but eigenvalue will switch sign

- symmetry:  $[H, S_z] = 0$

$\rightarrow S_z$  is a good quantum number

$$H |M\rangle = E_M |M\rangle \quad M = 1, 2, \dots$$

$M$  - number of flipped spins

$$|M\rangle = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_M} a(n_1, \dots, n_M) |n_1, \dots, n_M\rangle$$

$$|n_1, \dots, n_M\rangle = S_{n_1}^+ \dots S_{n_M}^+ |0\rangle$$

$|0\rangle = |\downarrow \dots \downarrow\rangle$  - ferromagnetic reference state

dimension of Hilbert space

$$\binom{N}{M} \sum_{M=0}^N \binom{N}{M} = 2^N$$

- periodic boundary conditions means

$$a(n_1, \dots, n_M) = a(n_2, \dots, n_M, n_1 + N)$$

Bethe ansatz: (general)solution of  $H(\Delta)$ :

$$\psi(n_1, \dots, n_n) = \sum_{\pi} A_{\pi} \exp\left(i \sum_{j=1}^n k_{\pi_j} n_j\right)$$

Bethe ansatz wavefunction

sum  $\sum_{\pi}$ : over all permutations of  $k$ -vectors $A_{\pi}$  - phase factor associated with a particular permutation  $\pi$ 

- to derive it:

- solve finite difference equation associated with  $H(\Delta)$
- implement boundary conditions

- Hamiltonian can be written as a sum of two-bodies neighboring terms

$$H_{n,n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y) + \Delta (S_n^z S_{n+1}^z - \frac{1}{4})$$

recall  $L^{\pm} |l, m\rangle = [l(l+1) - m(m\pm 1)]^{1/2} |l, m\pm 1\rangle$

$$L_z |l, m\rangle = m |l, m\rangle$$

$$S^{\pm} |\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2}\rangle = |\frac{1}{2}, \mp\frac{1}{2}\rangle$$

$$S_z |\frac{1}{2}, m\rangle = m |\frac{1}{2}, m\rangle$$

$$2H_{n, n+1} |\uparrow\rangle_n |\downarrow\rangle_{n+1} = |\downarrow\rangle_n |\uparrow\rangle_{n+1} - \Delta |\uparrow\rangle_n |\downarrow\rangle_{n+1}$$

$$2H_{n, n+1} |\downarrow\rangle_n |\uparrow\rangle_{n+1} = |\uparrow\rangle_n |\downarrow\rangle_{n+1} - \Delta |\downarrow\rangle_n |\uparrow\rangle_{n+1}$$

$$H_{n, n+1} |\uparrow\rangle_n |\uparrow\rangle_{n+1} = H_{n, n+1} |\downarrow\rangle_n |\downarrow\rangle_{n+1} = 0$$



when using total Hamiltonian

$$2H(\Delta) |n_1, \dots, n_n\rangle = \sum_{n'_1} |n'_1, \dots, n'_n\rangle - N_a \Delta |n_1, \dots, n_n\rangle$$

configuration  $n'_1, \dots, n'_n$  differs by position of one flipped spin

$$n'_1 = n_1, \dots, n'_2 = n_2 \pm 1, \dots, n'_n = n_n$$

$N_a$  - # of anti-parallel neighboring pairs

when written in first quantization, (Schrödinger equation):

$$\sum_{n'_1} [a(n'_1, \dots, n'_n) - \Delta a(n_1, \dots, n_n)] = 2E_m a(n_1, \dots, n_n)$$



finite difference equation

- to go from second quantization to first

- example  $M=1$

$$|M\rangle = \sum_n a(n) |n\rangle$$

$$2H(\Delta) |M\rangle = \sum_n a(n) 2H(\Delta) |n\rangle = 2E(\Delta) \sum_n a(n) |n\rangle$$

$$\langle n' | \rightarrow \sum_n a(n) \langle n' | 2H(\Delta) |n\rangle = 2E(\Delta) a(n')$$

$$\sum_n a(n) [ \underbrace{J_{n'n+1} + J_{n'n-1} - 2J_{nn'}}_{\text{Hamiltonian matrix}} ] = 2E(\Delta) a(n) \quad (6)$$

$$\underbrace{- \text{interesting exercise / quiz} : M=2}_0 \quad \underbrace{-7\Delta a(n) = 2E(\Delta) a(n)}_0$$

equation for  $M=1$  solved by plane-wave (magnon)

$$a(n+1) + a(n-1) - 2\Delta a(n) = 2E_1 a(n)$$

$$a(n) = A e^{ikn}$$

$$E_1 = \cos k - \Delta$$

$\Rightarrow$  one flipped spin behaves like a free-particle

-  $M=2$  case:  $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \overset{n_1}{\uparrow} \downarrow \downarrow \dots \downarrow \overset{n_2}{\uparrow} \downarrow \dots$

$$\Rightarrow a(n_1, n_2)$$

distinguish two cases:

- flipped spins are not neighbors

$$n_2 \geq n_1 + 1$$

- flipped spins are neighbors

$$n_2 = n_1 + 1$$

first case:

⑦

finite difference equation

$$a(n_1-1, n_2) + a(n_1+1, n_2) + a(n_1, n_2-1) + a(n_1, n_2+1) - 4\Delta a(n_1, n_2) = 2E_2 a(n_1, n_2)$$

$$\text{solution: } a(n_1, n_2) = A_{12} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2)} + A_{21} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2)}$$

substituting results in eigenvalue

$$E_2 = \cos k_1 - \Delta + \cos k_2 - \Delta$$

---

substitution:

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{12} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2)} [e^{-ik_1} + e^{ik_1} + e^{-ik_2} + e^{ik_2} - 4\Delta] \\ & + A_{21} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2)} [e^{-ik_2} + e^{ik_2} + e^{-ik_1} + e^{ik_1} - 4\Delta] \\ & = 2E_2 (A_{12} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2)} + A_{21} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2)}) \end{aligned}$$

true for arbitrary coefficients if

$$E_2 = \cos k_1 - \Delta + \cos k_2 - \Delta$$

$\Rightarrow$  two "free particles"

BUT!!!

⑨

- two flipped spins can also come together!
- must consider case 2 ( $n_2 = n_1 + 1$ ) and make it consistent with case 1

case 2:  $n_2 = n_1 + 1$      ↓ ↓ ↓ ↑ ↓ ↓ ↓

- clearly: Hamiltonian can not flip  $n_1$  to  $n_2$  and vice versa

- finite difference equation

$$a(n_1 - 1, n_2) + a(n_1, n_2 + 1) - 2\Delta a(n_1, n_2) = ? E a(n_1, n_2)$$

- to make it consistent with case 1

we write the finite difference equation from case 1 ~~at  $n_2 = n_1 + 1$~~  for  $n_2 = n_1 + 1$

$$a(n_1 - 1, n_1 + 1) + a(n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1) + a(n_1, n_1) + a(n_1, n_1 + 2) - 4\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1) = ? E a(n_1, n_1 + 1)$$

for case 2 we have with  $n_2 = n_1 + 1$

$$a(n_1 - 1, n_1 + 1) + a(n_1, n_1 + 2) - 2\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1) = ? E a(n_1, n_1 + 1)$$

subtract case 2 from case 1

$$a(n_1, n_1) + a(n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1) - 2\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1) = 0$$



(9)

- substitute form of wavefunction

$$(A_{12} + A_{21}) [1 + e^{i(k_1 + k_2)}]$$

$$- 2\Delta A_{12} e^{ik_2} - 2\Delta A_{21} e^{ik_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} = - \frac{e^{i(k_1 + k_2)} - 2\Delta e^{ik_2} + 1}{e^{i(k_1 + k_2)} - 2\Delta e^{ik_1} + 1}$$

$$= - \frac{e^{i\frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2}} - 2\Delta e^{i\frac{(k_2 - k_1)}{2}} + e^{-i\frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2}}}{e^{i\frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2}} - 2\Delta e^{i\frac{(k_1 - k_2)}{2}} + e^{-i\frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2}}} \Rightarrow \left| \frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} \right| = 1$$

$$= - \frac{2 \cos\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) - 2\Delta \cos\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right) - 2\Delta i \sin\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right)}{2 \cos\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) - 2\Delta \cos\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right) + 2\Delta i \sin\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} = e^{-i\Theta(k_1, k_2)} \quad (\text{since } \left| \frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} \right| = 1)$$

~~$$\frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} = e^{-i\Theta(k_1, k_2)} = - \frac{2 \left[ \cos\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) - \Delta \cos\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right) - i \Delta \sin\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right) \right]}{\cos\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) - \Delta \cos\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right) + i \Delta \sin\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right)}$$~~

$$\Theta(k_1, k_2) = 2 \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{\Delta \sin\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}\right) - \Delta \cos\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}\right)} \right]$$

- two particles which interact via a "scattering process" described by phase  $\Theta(k_1, k_2)$

- general solution for  $M=2$

$$a(n_1, n_2) = A_{12} \left[ e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2)} + e^{i \frac{\Theta(k_1, k_2)}{2}} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2)} \right]$$

- "complete" picture emerges when one considers

$M=3$

$$a(n_1, n_2, n_3) \rightarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \dots \uparrow \dots \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$$

possible cases:

1.)  $n_1 + 1 < n_2$        $n_2 + 1 < n_3$       (all three separate)  
 $\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots \uparrow \dots \uparrow \dots$

2.)  $n_1 + 1 = n_2$        $n_2 + 1 < n_3$       ( $n_1, n_2$  neighbors  
 $n_3$  separate)  
 $\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots$

3.)  $n_1 < n_2 + 1$        $n_2 + 1 = n_3$       ( $n_2, n_3$  neighbors  
 $n_1$  separate)  
 $\dots \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots$

4.)  $n_1 + 1 = n_2$        $n_2 + 1 < n_3$   
 $\dots \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots$

case 1:

(11)

$$\begin{aligned} & a(n_1-1, n_2, n_3) + a(n_1+1, n_2, n_3) \\ & + a(n_1, n_2-1, n_3) + a(n_1, n_2+1, n_3) \\ & + a(n_1, n_2, n_3-1) + a(n_1, n_2, n_3+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$- 6 \Delta a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = 2 E_3 a(n_1, n_2, n_3)$$

assume form of wavefunction:

$$\begin{aligned} a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = & A_{123} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2 + k_3 n_3)} + A_{132} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_3 n_2 + k_2 n_3)} \\ & + A_{213} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2 + k_3 n_3)} + A_{231} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_3 n_2 + k_1 n_3)} \\ & + A_{312} e^{i(k_3 n_1 + k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_3)} + A_{321} e^{i(k_3 n_1 + k_2 n_2 + k_1 n_3)} \end{aligned}$$

substitution into Schrödinger equation results in:

$$E_3 = \omega \hbar k_1 - \Delta + \omega \hbar k_2 - \Delta + \omega \hbar k_3 - \Delta$$

case 2

(12)

$$n_1 + 1 = n_2 \quad n_3 > n_2 + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a(n_1 - 1, n_1 + 1, n_3) + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) \\ & + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3 - 1) + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3 + 1) \\ & - 4\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) = 2E_3 a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

writing the Schrödinger equation for case 1  
with  $n_1 + 1 = n_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a(n_1 - 1, n_1 + 1, n_3) + a(n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1, n_3) \\ & + a(n_1, n_1, n_3) + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) \\ & + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3 - 1) + a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3 + 1) \\ & - 4\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) = 2E_3 a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) \end{aligned}$$

again subtract one from the other:

$$\begin{aligned} & a(n_1, n_1, n_3) + a(n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1, n_3) - 2\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3) = 0 \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad = \underline{\underline{2E_3 a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_3)}} \end{aligned}$$

notice! if  $n_3$  was not there this would  
be the same equation as what we  
obtained for the  $n=2$  case:

$$a(n_1, n_1) + a(n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1) - 2\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1) = 0$$

it leads to:  $A_{123} = A_{413} e^{\frac{i\theta(k_1, k_2)}{2}}$

$\theta(k_1, k_2) \rightarrow$  same phase

case 3

(13)

make long story short:  $n_1 < n_2 - 1$   $n_2 + 1 = n_3$ 

$$a(n_1, n_2, n_2) + a(n_1, n_2 + 1, n_2 + 1) - 2\Delta(n_1, n_2, n_2 + 1) = 0$$

$$A_{123} = A_{132} e^{\frac{i\theta(k_2, k_3)}{2}}$$

 $\Downarrow$   $\Downarrow$ 

One can reach any permutation

$$A_{123} \rightarrow A_{213} \rightarrow A_{231}$$

case 4 $\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$ 

$$n_1 + 1 = n_2 \quad n_2 + 1 = n_3$$

can obtain several equivalent conditions

$$a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 1) + a(n_1, n_1 + 2, n_1 + 2)$$

$$- 2\Delta a(n_1, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2) = 0$$

- restatement of previous findings

 $\Downarrow$  $\Downarrow$ 

$$A_{123} \rightarrow \text{factorizes into } A_{12} A_{13} A_{23}$$

for  $N$  flipped spins:

$$A_{\uparrow\uparrow} = N \exp\left[\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \theta(k_{\uparrow i}, k_{\downarrow i})\right]$$

$$\text{eigen value: } E_N = \sum_{i=1}^N (\cos k_i - \Delta)$$

- so far: Bethe ansatz wavefunction derived
  - phases represent scattering processes, but the particles are "otherwise independent"
- to complete formalism  $\Rightarrow$  one more element
  - boundary conditions
  - periodic boundary conditions:

- case  $M=1$

$$a(n) = a(n+N)$$

$$a(n) = A e^{ikn} = A e^{ikn} e^{ikN}$$

$$kN = 2\pi\lambda$$

$$k = \frac{2\pi\lambda}{N}$$

$$\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

- familiar result for particle on lattice with PBC

- case  $M=2$

$$a(n_1, n_2) = a(n_2, n_1 + N)$$

using form of wavefunction:

$$A_{12} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2)} + A_{21} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2)}$$

$$= A_{12} e^{i(k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_1)} e^{ik_2 N} + A_{21} e^{i(k_2 n_2 + k_1 n_1)} e^{ik_1 N}$$

$$\rightarrow A_{12} = A_{21} e^{ik_1 N} \quad / \quad A_{21} = A_{12} e^{ik_2 N}$$

$$\frac{A_{12}}{A_{21}} e^{-ik_1 N} = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$k_1 N = 2\pi\lambda_1 + \Theta(k_1, k_2)$$

$$\frac{A_{21}}{A_{12}} e^{-ik_2 N} = 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$k_2 N = 2\pi\lambda_2 + \Theta(k_2, k_1)$$

total number of two-particle system  $(k_1 + k_2)N = 2\pi(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$

case  $N=3$

$$a(n_1, n_2, n_3) = a(n_2, n_3, n_1 + N)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{123} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2 + k_3 n_3)} + A_{132} e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_3 n_2 + k_2 n_3)} \\ & + A_{213} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_1 n_2 + k_3 n_3)} + A_{231} e^{i(k_2 n_1 + k_3 n_2 + k_1 n_3)} \\ & + A_{312} e^{i(k_3 n_1 + k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_3)} + A_{321} e^{i(k_3 n_1 + k_2 n_2 + k_1 n_3)} \\ & = A_{123} e^{i(k_1 n_2 + k_2 n_3 + k_3 n_1)} e^{ik_3 N} + A_{132} e^{i(k_1 n_2 + k_3 n_3 + k_2 n_1)} e^{ik_2 N} \\ & + A_{213} e^{i(k_2 n_2 + k_1 n_3 + k_3 n_1)} e^{ik_3 N} + A_{231} e^{i(k_2 n_2 + k_3 n_3 + k_1 n_1)} e^{ik_1 N} \\ & + A_{312} e^{i(k_3 n_2 + k_1 n_3 + k_2 n_1)} e^{ik_2 N} + A_{321} e^{i(k_3 n_2 + k_2 n_3 + k_1 n_1)} e^{ik_1 N} \end{aligned}$$

for example the terms  $A_{123}$  with  $e^{i(k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2 + k_3 n_3)}$  (16)

are

$$A_{123} = A_{231} e^{i k_1 N}$$

other terms with equivalent plane-wave factors

$$\Rightarrow A_{132} = A_{321} e^{i k_1 N}$$

$$A_{213} = A_{332} e^{i k_2 N}$$

$$A_{231} = A_{312} e^{i k_2 N}$$

$$A_{312} = A_{123} e^{i k_3 N}$$

$$A_{321} = A_{213} e^{i k_3 N}$$

$$A_{312} \rightarrow A_{321}$$

$$A_{123} \rightarrow A_{213}$$

only these are different

resulting equations: Bethe ansatz equations

$$k_j N = 2\pi r_j + \sum_{i=1}^M \theta(k_i, k_j) \quad \left[ \text{to be general} \right]$$

numerical strategy to solve Bethe

- choose  $r_j$

- choose initial  $k$ -vectors

(for example: corresponding Fermi sea)

- solve self-consistently

- Bethe ansatz equations are algebraic equations for a combinatorial problem

( $M$ -equations, even though Hilbert space is  $\binom{N}{M}$  dimensional)



## Summary:

(17)

- spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  anisotropic Heisenberg model ( $x \times z$ ) can be solved using the Bethe ansatz wave function
- the Bethe ansatz wave function consists of a sum of products of plane-waves. In each term in the sum,  $k$  vectors are permuted.
- the phase of each term in the sum can be factorized into two-particle scattering processes  $\Rightarrow$  phase determined by interaction parameter
- periodic boundary conditions result in  $M$  equations for the momentum vectors  $\vec{k}$  in terms of phases & quantum numbers  $\lambda$
- much better than the original Hilbert space, which is  $\binom{N}{n}$  dimensional
- algebraic equations can be solved analytically in the thermodynamic limit
- they can be solved numerically as well
- ground state and excited state properties are accessible
- finite  $T$  properties are accessible