

# Mermin-Wagner Theorem (source: Averbach) ①

## Spontaneously broken Symmetry

- general phenomenon in statistical mechanics
- can occur at finite temperatures and when the order parameter does not commute with the Hamiltonian
- Spin density wave in the  $\hat{t}$ -direction

$$S_{\vec{q}}^{\hat{t}} = \sum_i e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r}_i} S_i^{\hat{t}}$$

- consider a rotationally invariant Hamiltonian  $H_0 \rightarrow$  add symmetry breaking term, here the spin-density wave coupled to a field

$$H(\omega) = H_0 - h S_{\vec{q}}^{\hat{t}}$$

- magnetization per site:

$$m_{\vec{q}}(h) = \frac{1}{N\tau} \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta H(\omega)} S_{\vec{q}}^{\hat{t}}]$$

$$\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta H(\omega)}]$$

- finite fields:  $m_g^*(n)$  becomes finite (induced by ② the ordering field)

Definition: Spontaneously broken symmetry

occurs in the system if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m_g^*(n, N, T) \neq 0$$

in other words if, after taking the thermodynamic limit, the limit  $n \rightarrow 0^+$  results in a finite magnetization

- in the absence of the ordering field: examine two-point correlation function

$$S^{aa}(\vec{q}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{Z_N} [e^{-\beta H} S_{\vec{q}}^a S_{-\vec{q}}^a]$$

spontaneously broken symmetry implies true

$$\text{long range order: } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S^{aa}(\vec{q})}{N} > 0$$

$$\text{or } \lim_{|\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2| \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \langle \vec{S}_{\vec{q}_1} \cdot \vec{S}_{\vec{q}_2} \rangle \neq 0$$

"quasi-long-range order"  $\rightarrow$  power law decay  
of correlation functions at large distances

- given these definitions we can state the

(3)

Hermann-Wagner theorem:

for the quantum Heisenberg model

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - h S_z^t$$

with short range interactions which obey

$$J = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} |J_{ij}| |x_i - x_j|^2 < \infty$$

there can be no spontaneously broken symmetry at finite temperatures in one and two dimensions

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} m_\alpha(h, N) = 0.$$

To prove this: first define a scalar product

$$(A, B) = \frac{1}{\sum_{n,n}^{} \langle n | A^\dagger | n \rangle \langle n | B | n \rangle} \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_n} - e^{-\beta E_m}}{E_n - E_m} \right)$$

$$|n\rangle \rightarrow \text{eigenstate of } \hat{H} \Rightarrow \hat{H}|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$$

the summation excludes terms with  $E_n = E_m$

$$\left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) \text{ is non negative}$$

$\rightarrow$  either  $E_n > E_m$  or  $E_m < E_n$

$$\text{if } E_n > E_m \Rightarrow e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n} > 0 \text{ and } E_n - E_m > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) > 0$$

$$\text{if } E_n < E_m \Rightarrow e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n} < 0 \text{ and } E_n - E_m < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) > 0$$

$$A \cdot B \Rightarrow 0 \leq (A, A) = \chi_{AA} \quad (\text{susceptibility})$$

(Indeed  $(A, A)$  is a scalar product)

- inequality:  $\tanh x \leq x$  can be used to show that

$$0 \leq \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) \leq \frac{\beta}{2} (e^{-\beta E_m} + e^{-\beta E_n})$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) = e^{\frac{-\beta(E_n+E_m)}{2}} \frac{\left( e^{\frac{-\beta(E_m-E_n)}{2}} - e^{\frac{-\beta(E_n-E_m)}{2}} \right)}{E_n - E_m}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(E_m+E_n)}}{(E_n - E_m)} \frac{\left( e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} - e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} \right) \left( \cancel{e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n+E_m)}} \cancel{e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)}} \right)}{\left( e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} + e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} \right)}$$

$$\curvearrowleft \chi \left( e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} + e^{\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n-E_m)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{2}{\beta(E_n - E_m)} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{2}(E_n - E_m)\right) / \left( e^{-\beta E_m} + e^{-\beta E_n} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{2} (e^{-\beta E_m} + e^{-\beta E_n})$$

it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A, A) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \langle \text{ad} A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle n | \text{ad} A | n \rangle \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{(E_n - E_m)} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{m,n} \langle \text{ad} A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle n | \text{ad} A | n \rangle (e^{-\beta E_m} + e^{-\beta E_n}) \\
 &= \frac{\beta}{2} (\langle A^\dagger A \rangle + \langle AA^\dagger \rangle) \\
 \Rightarrow (A, A) &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} (\langle A^\dagger A \rangle + \langle AA^\dagger \rangle)
 \end{aligned}$$

for scalar products the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality states that:  $|(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \leq (\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)$

$$\Rightarrow |(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \langle A^\dagger A + AA^\dagger \rangle (\beta, \beta)$$

$$\text{define: } \beta = [C^\dagger, H]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow (A, \beta) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \langle \text{ad} A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle n | \beta | n \rangle \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \langle \text{ad} A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | [C^\dagger, H] | n \rangle \left( \frac{e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}}{E_n - E_m} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\quad \langle m | C^\dagger H | n \rangle - \langle m | H C^\dagger | n \rangle \\
 &= (E_n - E_m) \langle m | C^\dagger | n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \langle \text{ad} A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | (C^\dagger)^\dagger | n \rangle (e^{-\beta E_m} - e^{-\beta E_n}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} e^{-\beta E_m} \langle m | C^\dagger | n \rangle \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} e^{-\beta E_n} \langle n | A^\dagger | m \rangle \langle m | C^\dagger | n \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

(6)

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \langle [C^\dagger, A^\dagger] \rangle$$

it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B, B \rangle &= \langle [C^\dagger, B^\dagger] \rangle = \cancel{\langle [C^\dagger, B^\dagger], C^\dagger \rangle} \\ &= \langle [C^\dagger, [A, C]] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

y

Bogoliubov inequality

$$|\langle [C^\dagger, A^\dagger] \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \langle AA^\dagger + A^\dagger A \rangle \langle [CC^\dagger, AA^\dagger, C] \rangle$$

choose:  $C = S_\beta^*$      $A = S_{-\vec{q}}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle [S_{-\vec{q}}^*, S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^*] \rangle|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \langle S_{-\vec{q}-\vec{a}}^* S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^* + S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^* S_{-\vec{q}-\vec{a}}^* \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle [S_{-\vec{q}}^*, [A, S_\beta^*]] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta}{2} \langle S_{-\vec{q}-\vec{a}}^* S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^* + S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^* S_{-\vec{q}-\vec{a}}^* \rangle &= \beta \langle S_{-\vec{q}-\vec{a}}^* S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^* \rangle \\ &= \beta S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^{yy} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle [C^\dagger, A^\dagger] \rangle = \langle [S_{-\vec{q}}^*, S_{\vec{q}+\vec{a}}^*] \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_j e^{-i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}_j + i(\vec{q}+\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{r}_i} \langle [B_i^*, S_j^*] \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i e^{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}_i} \langle [S_i^*, S_i^*] \rangle$$

$$= \sum_i e^{i \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}_i} \langle S_i^* \rangle = N m \frac{a}{\vec{a}}$$

$$\langle [C^\dagger, A^\dagger] \rangle = i N u \frac{a}{\vec{a}}$$

define  $F(t) = N^{-1} \langle [S_{-\vec{a}}^*, L\vec{H}, S_{\vec{a}}^*] \rangle$  ⑦

Bogoliubov's inequality:  $\pi_{\vec{a}}^2 \leq \beta S^{yy}(t+\delta) F(t)$

→ calculate  $F(t)$

$$2t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \Im_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j - h S_{\vec{a}}^2$$

first do the term  $-h S_{\vec{a}}^2$

$$N^{-1} \langle [S_{-\vec{a}}^*, [S_{\vec{a}}^*, S_{\vec{a}}^*]] \rangle (-h)$$

$$= -\frac{h}{N} \sum_{j \neq m} \underbrace{\langle [S_j^*, [S_e^*, S_m^*]] \rangle}_{e^{-iH\cdot\vec{r}_j} e^{i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{r}_e} e^{iH\cdot\vec{r}_m}} e^{-iH\cdot\vec{r}_j} e^{i(\vec{a}+\vec{r})\cdot\vec{r}_m}$$

$$[S_e^2, S_m^2] = i \text{D}_{em}(S_e^2)$$

$$= -\frac{i h}{N} \sum_{j \neq e} \langle [S_j^*, S_e^*] \rangle e^{-iH\cdot\vec{r}_j} e^{i(\vec{a}+\vec{r})\cdot\vec{r}_m}$$

$$= \frac{h}{N} \sum_k e^{i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{r}_k} \langle S_k^2 \rangle = h m_{\vec{a}}^2$$

$$F(t) = h m_{\vec{a}}^2 + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \Im_{ij} \langle [S_{-\vec{a}}^*, [\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, S_{\vec{a}}^*]] \rangle$$

now let's do two-body term

$$\frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \Im_{ij} \langle [S_{-\vec{a}}^*, [\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, S_{\vec{a}}^*]] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \Im_{ij} c_{mn} \langle [S_m^*, [\vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, S_n^*]] \rangle$$

consider first the inside commutator

(8)

$$[S_j, S_0, S_n^*]$$

$$= \underbrace{[S_j^*, S_0^*, S_n^*]}_0 + [S_j^*, S_0^*, S_n^*] + [S_j^*, S_0^*, S_n^*]$$

$$= S_j^* [S_0^*, S_n^*] + [S_j^*, S_n^*] S_0^*$$

$$+ S_j^* [S_0^*, S_n^*] + \cancel{S_j^*} \cancel{S_0^*} [S_j^*, S_n^*] S_0^*$$

$$= -i S_j^* S_0^* d_m - i S_j^* S_0^* d_n$$

$$+ i S_j^* S_0^* d_m + i S_j^* S_0^* d_n$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{jlmn} T_{jle} e^{-i\pi(\tilde{x}_m - \tilde{x}_n)} \left[ -i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle d_m \right. \\ \left. - i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle d_m + i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle d_m \right. \\ \left. + i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle d_n \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{jlmn} T_{jle} e^{-i\pi(\tilde{x}_m - \tilde{x}_n)} \langle i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{jlmn} T_{jle} e^{-i\pi(\tilde{x}_m - \tilde{x}_n)} \langle -i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{jlmn} T_{jle} e^{-i\pi(\tilde{x}_m - \tilde{x}_n)} \langle i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{jlmn} T_{jle} e^{-i\pi(\tilde{x}_m - \tilde{x}_n)} \langle i \langle [S_m^*, S_j^* S_0^*] \rangle \rangle$$

- considering that  $j \neq l$  (neither bars) and that ⑨

$$S_{je} = S_{lj}$$

$$= \frac{i}{N} \sum_{j \neq m} S_{je} e^{-i\hbar \cdot (\vec{r}_m - \vec{r}_j)} \langle [S_m^x, S_j^y S_e^z - S_j^z S_e^y] \rangle$$

work out commutators:

$$[S_m^x, S_j^y S_e^z] = S_j^y [S_m^x, S_e^z] + [S_m^x, S_j^y] S_e^z$$

$$= -i S_j^y S_e^z \delta_{em} + i S_j^z S_e^y S_{jm}^x$$

$$[S_m^x, S_j^z S_e^y] = S_j^z [S_m^x, S_e^y] \cancel{- i S_j^x S_e^y \delta_{em}} \\ + [S_m^x, S_j^z] S_e^y$$

$$= i S_j^x S_e^y \delta_{em} - i S_j^y S_e^x \delta_{em}$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j \neq m} S_{je} e^{i\hbar \cdot (\vec{r}_m - \vec{r}_j)} \langle (i \delta_{em} (S_j^x S_e^y + S_j^y S_e^x) \\ - i \delta_{jm} (S_j^x S_e^y + S_j^y S_e^x)) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{je} S_{je} e^{-i\hbar \cdot (\vec{r}_e - \vec{r}_j)} \langle i \langle (S_j^x S_e^y + S_j^y S_e^x) \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{je} S_{je} i \langle (S_j^x S_e^y + S_j^y S_e^x) \rangle$$

$$= N^{-1} \sum_{je} S_{je} \{ \cos \hbar \cdot (\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_e) - 1 \} \langle S_j^x S_e^y + S_j^y S_e^x \rangle$$

$$F(t) = h m_a^t + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N [ \cos[k \cdot (\vec{x}_j - \vec{x}_0)] - 1 ] \langle S_j^x S_0^y + S_j^y S_0^x \rangle \quad (10)$$

$$\leq h m_a^t + \frac{\|k\|^2}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^N \langle S_j^x \rangle |(\vec{x}_j - \vec{x}_0)|^2 |\langle S_j^x S_0^y + S_j^y S_0^x \rangle|$$

$$|\langle S_j^x S_0^y + S_j^y S_0^x \rangle| \leq K \langle S_j^x \rangle \leq S(S+1)$$

$$F(t) \leq h m_a^t + S(S+1) \bar{J} \|k\|^2$$

↓

Bogoliubov inequality

$$m_a^2 \leq \beta S^{yy}(\vec{k} + \vec{a}) [h m_a^t + S(S+1) \bar{J} \|k\|^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{yy}(\vec{k} + \vec{a}) \geq \frac{m_a^2}{\beta [h m_a^t + S(S+1) \bar{J} \|k\|^2]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \langle S^{yy}(\vec{k} + \vec{a}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_{-\vec{a}-\vec{r}_i}^y S_{\vec{r}_i+\vec{a}}^y \rangle \\ &\quad ; (\vec{k} + \vec{a}) \cdot (\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_{\vec{r}_i}^y S_{\vec{r}_i}^y \rangle \geq e \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle S_i^y \rangle^2 \leq S(S+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow S(S+1) \geq \frac{m_a^2}{\beta [h m_a^t + S(S+1) \bar{J} \|k\|^2]}$$

$$S(S+1) \geq \frac{m_a^2}{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^d \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k^{d-1} dk}{[n m_a^2 + S(S+1) \bar{J} k^2]} \quad (11)$$

$\vec{n} \rightarrow$  smaller than Brillouin zone edge vector

$d=2$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{k dk}{A + B k^2} = \frac{1}{2B} \ln(A + B k) \Big|_0^{\infty} = \frac{1}{2B} \ln\left(\frac{A + B k}{A}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(S+1) \geq \frac{m_a^2}{2\beta S(S+1) \bar{J} (2\pi)^2} \ln \left[ \frac{n m_a^2 + S(S+1) \bar{J} \vec{n}^2}{n m_a^2} \right]$$

$\Rightarrow \vec{n} \rightarrow 0^+$  right hand side diverges

$$d=1 \quad S(S+1) \geq \frac{m_a^2}{\beta L \sqrt{\bar{J} S(S+1)} n m_a^2} \arctan\left[\frac{\vec{n} \sqrt{\bar{J} S(S+1)}}{n m_a^2}\right]$$

$\Rightarrow$  generalization: ~~the~~ these results hold not only for the Heisenberg model, but for models with continuous symmetry

-continuous symmetry:

Suppose you rotate all spins in system, if you get the same energy, model has continuous symmetry