

## LECTURE 13, MONDAY 22.03.04

FRANZ LEMMERMEYER

### 1. HENSEL'S LEMMA

Now let us talk a little bit about the structure of  $p$ -adic fields. We will interpret  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as the projective limit of the rings  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\pi_n : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  as the projection onto its  $n$ -th component. Thus we will write  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  as sequences  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  with  $x_n \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . If you want to think of  $p$ -adic integers as power series  $a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots$  in  $p$ , then  $x_1 = a_0$ ,  $x_2 = a_0 + a_1p$ ,  $x_3 = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2$ , etc.

Our first claim is

**Proposition 1.** *The sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact. In particular,  $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first show that multiplication by  $p$  is injective; by induction, this will show that multiplication by  $p^n$  is injective.

Assume therefore that  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies  $px = 0$ . Then  $px_{n+1} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ , which implies that  $x_{n+1}$  is divisible by  $p^n$ . But then so is  $x_n = \pi_n^{n+1}x_{n+1}$ , hence  $x_n = 0$ . Since this is valid for any  $n$ , we conclude that  $x = 0$ .

Since  $\pi_n$  is clearly surjective, it remains to prove that  $\ker \pi_n = p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ . It is clear that  $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \ker \pi_n$ , so assume that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies  $x_n = \pi_n(x) = 0$ . Then  $x_k = \pi_k^n(x_n) = 0$  for all  $k \leq n$ , hence we have  $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, \dots)$ . But for  $m > n$  we have  $0 = x_n = \pi_n^m x_m$ , hence  $x_m$  is in the kernel of reduction modulo  $p^n$ , in other words,  $x_m$  is represented by an integer divisible by  $p^n$ . This means that  $x = p^n x'$  for some  $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence  $\ker \pi_n \subseteq p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *A  $p$ -adic integer  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a unit if and only if  $p \nmid u$ .*

*Proof.* If  $u$  is a unit, then  $uv = 1$  for some  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Reducing modulo  $p$  show that  $\pi_1(u)\pi_1(v) = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , hence  $\pi_1(u)$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and thus not divisible by  $p$ . Conversely, assume that  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then  $u_1 = \pi_1^n u_n$  is not divisible by  $p$ , and this shows that  $u_n$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . But then  $u^{-1} = (u_1^{-1}, u_2^{-1}, u_3^{-1}, \dots)$  is an inverse of  $u$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Every nonzero element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  has a unique representation of the form  $x = up^n$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \neq 0$ , there is a minimal  $n \geq 0$  with  $x_{n+1} \neq 0$ . Then  $x = p^n u$  for the unit  $u = p^{-n}(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$ . Uniqueness is clear.  $\square$

More generally, every  $p$ -adic number  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  can be written uniquely as  $x = up^n$  for some unit  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This shows that, as abelian groups, we have  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .

As a very modest Local-Global Principle, let us prove

**Proposition 4.** *A rational number  $a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$  if and only if it is a square in every completion  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (including  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}_\infty$ ).*

*Proof.* A rational number can be written as  $a = up^m$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is a unit and  $m$  an integer. If  $a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $m$  must be even, and this shows that the prime factor  $p$  occurs in  $a$  to an even power. Since  $a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we must have  $a > 0$ . But then  $a$  is a product of squares, hence a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

Our next goal will be showing that the  $p$ -adic number fields  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  contain a lot more “irrationalities” than their common subfield  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We start with

**Theorem 5.** *For any integer  $a$  not divisible by the prime  $p$ , the sequence  $a, a^p, a^{p^2}, \dots$  converges in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to some element  $\omega(a) = (a, a^p, a^{p^2}, \dots)$  with the property that  $\omega^{p-1} = 1$ .*

What this result tells us is that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  contains the  $p - 1$ -th roots of unity.

*Proof.* Consider the element  $(a, a^p, a^{p^2}, \dots) \in \prod \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ ; in order to show that it is in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have to show that the sequence is compatible, i.e., that  $a^{p^{n+1}} \equiv a^{p^n} \pmod{p^{n+1}}$ . For  $n = 0$  this is just Fermat’s Little Theorem. Now use induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, then  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus H$ , where  $H = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}_p : u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$  is the group of principal units.*

In fact, given any unit  $u$  with  $u \equiv a \pmod{p}$ , the unit  $v = u\omega(a)^{-1}$  is in  $H$ . Using the  $p$ -adic logarithm, it is easy to verify that  $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  as an abelian group.

**Proposition 7.** *The group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is torsion free.*

*Proof.* This is trivial:  $nx = 0$  for some integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  implies  $n = 0$  or  $x = 0$  since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a domain. Here we have used the fact that  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

Exercise: Consider the ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Show that it induces a ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p/n\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Determine kernel and image.

Next we will explain why  $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{17}$  but  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}_5$  (here  $\sqrt{2}$  does *not* stand for the real number  $1.414\dots$ , since this number is not contained in any  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : it simply does not converge there. Rather,  $\sqrt{2}$  denotes a solution of  $x^2 = 2$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .)

It is in fact easy to see why  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  does not contain a square root of 2: assume that  $x^2 = a$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for some odd prime  $p \nmid a$ ; then  $1 = |a|_p = |x^2|_p = |x|_p^2$ , hence  $|x|_p = 1$  and  $x$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , in particular an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (observe that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  consists of all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|x|_p \leq 1$ ). Now if  $x^2 = a$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then we can project this equality down to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  using  $\pi_1$ , and we get  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . Thus if  $x^2 = a$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then we necessarily must have  $(a/p) = +1$ .

We now prove the converse; theorems of this kind (giving conditions modulo  $p$  for solvability of equations in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ) are called Hensel’s lemma.

**Theorem 8.** *For odd primes  $p \nmid a$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the equation  $x^2 = a$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $(a/p) = +1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(a/p) = +1$ ; then there is some integer  $0 < x_0 < p$  with  $x_0^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . Now we use Newton's method: given an approximation  $x_n$ , we construct a better approximation using  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n - \frac{x_n^2 - a}{2x_n}$ .

Correct Limit: show that  $|x_{n+1}^2 - a|_p < |x_n^2 - a|_p$ . This is easy since  $x_{n+1}^2 - a = \left(\frac{x_n^2 - a}{2x_n}\right)^2$ .

Convergence: show that  $|x_{n+1} - x_n|_p < |x_n - x_{n-1}|_p$ . This follows from preceding claim. Details are left as homework.  $\square$

Similarly, it can be proved that an odd integer  $a$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  if and only if  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .

These results have drastic consequences. Consider e.g. the field  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  of 5-adic numbers and look at all its quadratic extensions  $\dots, \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{3}), \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{5}), \dots$ ; then the fact that  $(-1/p) = +1$  shows that  $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}_5$ , and similarly  $(6/5) = +1$  implies  $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{2}) = \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{3})$ . Going on like this one very soon discovers that  $\mathbb{Q}_5$  has at most three quadratic extensions, namely  $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{5})$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_5(\sqrt{10})$ .

This surprising fact generalizes to all  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with odd  $p$ : if  $a$  is a nonsquare modulo  $p$ , then the only quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{a}), \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{p}),$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt{ap})$ . The prime 2 behaves differently: there are exactly 7 quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ , and they are generated by  $\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{5},$  and  $\sqrt{2}$ .

You should view this as part of the simplicity of  $p$ -adic numbers: theorems on quadratic extensions of these fields can be proved almost by inspection!

## 2. TORSION POINTS AND $p$ -ADIC NUMBERS

Recall that our goal is to study torsion points in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . So where do the  $p$ -adic fields  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  come in? Here's how. First of all, the inclusion  $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  for every prime  $p$  implies that  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ; the reason why  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is important for understanding torsion points is that the image of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  in  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  can be located rather precisely. The tool for doing so is the reduction map, which will be defined on the whole projective plane.

In fact, consider a point  $P$  in the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2\mathbb{Q}$ . Rescaling if necessary we may assume that  $P = [x : y : z]$  for  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and if we assume in addition that not all three coordinates are divisible by  $p$ , then this representation is unique up to multiplication by units. Let  $\bar{x} = x \pmod{p}$  be the reduction modulo  $p$  of the  $p$ -adic number; the point  $\bar{P} = [\bar{x} : \bar{y} : \bar{z}]$  is called the reduction of  $P$  (with respect to  $p$ ).

Now consider an elliptic curve  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Reduction modulo  $p$  of points on  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  yield points defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; note, however, that  $E$  might be singular over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . If  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , that is, if  $p \nmid \Delta$ , then we say that  $E$  has good reduction at  $p$ ; otherwise we talk about bad reduction. In any case, the inverse image  $E_0$  of  $E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  under the reduction map is a subgroup of  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and the reduction map induces a surjective group homomorphism  $E_0 \rightarrow E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The kernel of this map is called the kernel of reduction and denoted by  $E_1$ . By definition, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) \longrightarrow 0.$$

One of the theorems we will prove is

**Theorem 9.**  $E_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

In particular  $E_1$  is torsion free. This implies that torsion points in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  cannot lie in  $E_1$ , hence  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  must inject into  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \setminus E_1$ . Thus the reduction of some torsion point  $[x : y : z]$  different from  $\mathcal{O}$  must land on some point  $[\bar{x} : \bar{y} : \bar{z}] \in E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  in the affine plane, which implies  $p \nmid \bar{z}$ . Thus the point  $P$  has affine coordinates  $(X, Y) = (\frac{x}{z}, \frac{y}{z})$  with  $p \nmid z$ , in other words:  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \subseteq E(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for every prime  $p$ . This implies that the coordinates of a torsion point are integers:

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then any torsion point  $P = (x, y) \in E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \setminus \{\mathcal{O}\}$  has integral coordinates:  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

In a similar vein, we have

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $E$  has good reduction at  $p$ , then there is an injective group homomorphism  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \hookrightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $p \nmid \Delta$ , we have  $E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p) = E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Thus  $E_0 = E(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , and the composition of the maps  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow E_0/E_1 \simeq E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is a group homomorphism with kernel  $E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tors}} \cap E_1$ . Since  $E_1$  is torsion free, the map is injective. Composing this injection with the injective group homomorphism  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{\text{tors}}$  proves the claim.  $\square$

This result is very useful for bounding the torsion groups of families of elliptic curves. For example, the curves  $E_p : y^2 = x^3 + px$  for primes  $p \geq 5$  have good reduction at 3, hence  $\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \leq \#E(\mathbb{F}_3) \leq 4$ . Since  $(0, 0)$  is a point of order 2 (and the only one), we must have  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

Finally, let us now derive the most famous result about torsion points; it was first proved by Nagell (a Scandinavian number theorist) in 1935 using Weierstrass  $\wp$ -functions; the investigation of elliptic curves over  $p$ -adic fields was started in 1937 by Elisabeth Lutz, a student of A. Weil.

**Theorem 12** (Theorem of Nagell-Lutz). *Let  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  be an elliptic curve with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $(x, y)$  is a torsion point on  $E$ , then  $y = 0$  or  $y^2 \mid (4a^3 + 27b^2)$ .*

This allows us to determine the torsion subgroup of an elliptic curve with small discriminant very quickly. Note, however, that the theorem only says that torsion points are integral: not every integral point is a torsion point.

The Theorem of Nagell-Lutz is a simple consequence of Theorem 10. In fact, it is sufficient to prove the following

**Lemma 13.** *If  $P = (x_P, y_P)$  is an affine point on  $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ , and if  $P$  and  $2P$  have integral coordinates, then  $y_P = 0$  or  $y_P^2 \mid D = 4a^3 + 27b^2$ .*

*Proof.* The addition formulas give

$$x_{2P} = \frac{\phi(x_P)}{4\psi(x_P)} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \phi(X) &= X^4 - 2aX^2 - 8bX + a^2 \text{ and} \\ \psi(X) &= X^3 + aX + b \end{cases}$$

With  $f(X) = 3X^2 + 4a$  and  $g(X) = 3X^3 - 5aX - 27b$  we can immediately verify that  $f(X)\phi(X) - g(X)\psi(X) = D$ . Putting  $X = x_P$  in this identity, and observing that  $\phi(x_P) = 4x_{2P}\psi(x_P)$  and  $\psi(x_P) = y_P$ , we deduce

$$y_P^2 [4x_{2P}f(x_P) - g(x_P)] = D.$$

Since  $x_P, y_P$  and  $x_{2P}$  are integers by assumption, this implies that  $y_P^2 \mid D$ .  $\square$

It remains to study the reduction map and prove that  $E_1$  is torsion free.