

ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY

HOMEWORK 3

- (1) Show that if $m = 2p$ for some prime $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then the equation $x^2 - 2py^2 = -1$ has a solution in integers.

It is of course not sufficient to check that this equation has solutions modulo 8 or something. We proceed as for $m = p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

We start with a minimal positive solution (t, u) of the Pell equation $t^2 - 2pu^2 = +1$. Clearly t is odd, and working modulo 4 we immediately see that u must be even. Now $2pu^2 = t^2 - 1 = (t - 1)(t + 1)$. The gcd of the factors on the right divides the difference $(t + 1) - (t - 1) = 2$, and the gcd is even since t is odd. Thus $\gcd(t - 1, t + 1) = 2$, and we are in one of the following cases:

$$\begin{array}{ll} t - 1 = 4pr^2 & t + 1 = 2s^2, \\ t - 1 = 2pr^2 & t + 1 = 4s^2, \\ t - 1 = 4r^2 & t + 1 = 2ps^2, \\ t - 1 = 2r^2 & t + 1 = 4ps^2. \end{array}$$

Taking the difference of these equations and cancelling 2 gives

$$\begin{array}{l} s^2 - 2pr^2 = +1, \\ 2s^2 - pr^2 = +1, \\ ps^2 - 2r^2 = +1, \\ 2ps^2 - r^2 = +1. \end{array}$$

The second and the third equation are impossible: reduction modulo p shows $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = +1$, but this contradicts $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ (you can also check that, in the second equation, s must be even and r odd, which gives you $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ without using the second supplementary law). The first equation contradicts the minimality of (t, u) : from $2rs = u$ we deduce that $|r| < |u|$. Since one out of these equations must hold (we started with an existing solution of the Pell equation), the last equation must be solvable.

- (2) Show that if $m = 2p$ for some prime $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ does not have unique factorization.

Clearly $\mathfrak{p} = (2, \sqrt{m})$ is a prime ideal with norm 2 since $\mathfrak{p}^2 = (2)$. We claim that \mathfrak{p} is not principal.

In fact, if say $\mathfrak{p} = (a + b\sqrt{m})$, then $a^2 - mb^2 = \pm 2$. Reducing this equation modulo p shows that $\left(\frac{\pm 2}{p}\right) = +1$. On the other hand, $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = +1$ since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$ since $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$: this is a contradiction.

Now it is clear that $2 \cdot p = \sqrt{2p} \cdot \sqrt{2p}$ is an example of nonunique factorization. We just have shown that 2 is irreducible; if p were reducible, there would exist an element π of norm $\pm p$, and then $\sqrt{2p}/\pi$ would be an element of norm 2: contradiction.

- (3) Compute the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{67})$ using elements of small norm. You can find elements with small norm using `pari`:

```
for(a=1,15,print(a," ",factor(a^2-67)))
```

The following elements have norms divisible only by primes ≤ 7 :

α	$N\alpha$
$2 + \sqrt{67}$	$-3^2 \cdot 7$
$5 + \sqrt{67}$	$-2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$
$7 + \sqrt{67}$	$-2 \cdot 3^2$
$8 + \sqrt{67}$	3
$9 + \sqrt{67}$	$2 \cdot 7$
$11 + \sqrt{7}$	$2 \cdot 3^3$

A little bit of experience will show you that the elements $a + \sqrt{67}$ for $a = 7$ and $a = 8$ will actually suffice. Thus let us write down all prime ideals of norms 2 and 3. Since $67 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the prime 2 is ramified, and the unique prime ideal of norm 2 is $\mathfrak{z} = (2, 1 + \sqrt{67})$. Next $\left(\frac{67}{3}\right) = +1$, hence $\mathfrak{z}_1 = (3, 1 + \sqrt{67})$ and $\mathfrak{z}_2 = (3, 1 - \sqrt{67})$ are the prime ideals of norm 3.

Now we factor the principal ideals $(a + \sqrt{m})$ into prime ideals. Since $7 + \sqrt{67} \equiv 1 + \sqrt{67} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{z}_1}$, we must have $(7 + \sqrt{67}) = \mathfrak{z}_1^2$. Similarly we get $8 + \sqrt{67} \equiv -1 + \sqrt{67} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{z}_2}$, hence $(8 + \sqrt{67}) = \mathfrak{z}_2$ and therefore $(8 - \sqrt{67}) = \mathfrak{z}_1$.

This shows that $\beta = \frac{7 + \sqrt{67}}{(8 - \sqrt{67})^2}$ generates the prime ideal \mathfrak{z} . From $\mathfrak{z}^2 = (2)$ we then see that $\varepsilon = \beta^2/2$ must be a unit > 0 , and since clearly $\varepsilon \neq 1$ (it is twice a square) it is nontrivial. In fact we get

$$\varepsilon = \frac{(7 + \sqrt{67})^2}{2(8 - \sqrt{67})^4} = 48842 + 5967\sqrt{67}.$$

It remains to check that ε is fundamental. In any case, $\varepsilon = \eta^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where η is the fundamental unit. Since $\eta \geq 1 + \sqrt{67}$, we find

$$m = \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log \eta} \leq \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log(1 + \sqrt{67})} < 5.17.$$

If $\varepsilon = \eta^2$, then $\eta \approx 306.0784$ and $\eta' = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \approx 0.00326$. Clearly $\eta + \eta'$ is not an integer, hence $\eta = \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ is not an element of \mathcal{O}_K . Similar calculations show that ε is not a third or fifth power. Thus ε is fundamental.

- (4) Determine whether the prime ideals above 3 in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{229})$ are principal or not.

1. Find a unit. We do this by solving the Pell equation $t^2 - 229u^2 = \pm 4$ and find the fundamental unit $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(15 + \sqrt{229})$.

2. Assume that there exists some $a+b\sqrt{229} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with norm $a^2-229b^2 = \pm 3$. Then we have shown that there is a solution with $|b| < \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{229}}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}+1)$. A little calculation shows that $|b| < 0.4$, hence we must have $b = 0$ (**Warning:** you cannot draw this conclusion from $|b| < 1$ since we might have $b = \frac{1}{2}$). But clearly there can be no solution with $b = 0$.

Conclusion: the prime ideals $(3, \omega)$ and $(3, \omega')$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{229})$ are not principal.