

## ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

### HOMEWORK 3

- (1) Prove that  $K[X, Y]/(XY) \simeq K[X] \oplus K[Y]$  as rings.

We have to send some  $f + I \in K[X, Y]/I$  for  $I = (XY)$  to a pair of polynomials in  $X$  and  $Y$ . Given an element  $f + I$ , it is clear that we can replace every term  $XY$  by 0 without changing the residue class  $f + I$ . This means that, once we have done this, we are left with a representative that can be written as the sum of a polynomial in  $X$  and one in  $Y$ , because there are no more mixed terms. Thus we have  $f(X, Y) \equiv g(X) + h(Y) \pmod{I}$ , and we can define  $\phi(f + I) = (g, h)$ . This is a well defined ring homomorphism.

Or so I thought. In fact, once we have, say,  $f(X, Y) = 2 + X + Y$ , we can send this to  $(2 + X, Y)$  or  $(X, 2 + Y)$  or  $(1 + X, 1 + Y)$ . Thus the map does not make any sense at all.

What we can do is fix the choice by demanding that  $h(0) = 0$ . In the example above, this would mean sending  $f + (XY)$  to  $(2 + X, Y)$ . This way we get a well defined ring homomorphism  $\phi : K[X, Y]/(XY) \simeq K[X] \oplus K[Y]$ , whose kernel consists of all  $f + (XY)$  such that  $f \equiv g(X) + h(Y) \pmod{I}$  with  $g = h = 0$ . This clearly implies that  $f$  is a multiple of  $XY$ , hence the map is injective.

On the other hand,  $\phi$  cannot possibly be surjective since the polynomials  $h$  do not have a constant term. In fact,  $\phi$  is actually a ring homomorphism  $\phi : K[X, Y]/(XY) \longrightarrow K[X] \oplus (Y)$ , where  $(Y)$ , as an ideal in  $K[Y]$ , is also a ring. Now showing surjectivity is easy, and we have proved

$$K[X, Y]/(XY) \simeq K[X] \oplus (Y).$$

Here's a second method of defining the map: given  $f \in R$ , define  $\phi_1(f) = f(X, 0) \in K[X]$ . Then  $g(X, Y) = f(X, Y) - f(X, 0)$  is a polynomial without constant term, and  $g(0, Y) \in (Y)$ . Now put  $\phi(f) = (f(X, 0), g(0, Y))$ , check that  $\ker \phi = (XY)$  and that  $\phi$  is surjective.

- (2) An algebraic set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n K$  is called reducible if there are algebraic sets  $X_1, X_2 \neq X$  with  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ , and irreducible otherwise.

Show that  $\mathcal{V}(I)$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{A}^n K$  if and only if  $I$  is a prime ideal in  $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . (Hint: this is easy. Start writing down the definitions and think about what they imply.)

Recall that we know that  $U \subseteq X$  implies  $\mathcal{I}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{I}(U)$ . If  $\mathcal{I}(U) = \mathcal{I}(X)$ , then applying  $\mathcal{V}$  shows  $U = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}(U)) = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I}(X)) = X$ . Thus  $U \subsetneq X$  implies  $\mathcal{I}(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{I}(U)$ .

Assume that  $X$  is reducible. Then we have to show that  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  is not prime. In fact, write  $X = U \cup W$  with  $U, W \subsetneq X$ . From  $U \subsetneq X$  we get  $\mathcal{I}(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{I}(U)$ , hence there is some  $f \in \mathcal{I}(U) \setminus \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Similarly, there is

some  $g \in \mathcal{I}(W) \setminus \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Now  $fg(P) = 0$  for every  $P$  in  $U \cup W = X$ , hence  $fg \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Since none of the factors is in  $\mathcal{I}(X)$ , this ideal cannot be prime.

Now assume that  $\mathcal{I}(X)$  is not prime. Then there exist  $f, g \in R \setminus \mathcal{I}(X)$  with  $fg \in \mathcal{I}(X)$ . Define  $I = (\mathcal{I}(X), f)$  and  $J = (\mathcal{I}(X), g)$  and put  $U = \mathcal{V}(I)$  and  $W = \mathcal{V}(J)$ . Then  $U \subsetneq X$  and  $W \subsetneq X$ . On the other hand,  $X \subseteq U \cup W$  because for all  $P \in X$  we know that  $fg(P) = 0$  implies  $f(P) = 0$  (and then  $P \in U$ ) or  $g(P) = 0$  (and  $P \in W$ ).

- (3) Show that  $\mathcal{V}(I)$  for  $I = (XY) \subseteq K[X, Y]$  is reducible, and that  $\mathcal{V}(J)$  for  $J = (Y - X)$  is irreducible.

By what we have shown all we need to do is observe that  $I = (XY)$  is not prime since  $XY \in I$  but  $X$  and  $Y$  are not; alternatively  $K[X, Y]/I \simeq K[X] \oplus K[Y]$  is not a domain (direct sums of rings always have zero divisors; here, for example,  $(X, 0) \cdot (0, Y) = 0$ ). Finally, you could directly observe that  $\mathcal{V}(I)$  is the union of the  $X$ -axis and the  $Y$ -axis, hence a union of proper algebraic subsets.

On the other hand,  $R/J \simeq K[X]$  (the map  $\phi : R \rightarrow K[X]$  defined by  $\phi(f) = f(X, X)$  is surjective with kernel  $J$ ) shows that  $J$  is prime; alternatively,  $Y - X$  is irreducible, hence prime because  $K[X, Y]$  is a UFD. Geometrically, this is kind of obvious, too: the variety  $\mathcal{V}(J)$  is the diagonal, which you clearly do not expect to be the union of proper subvarieties (this is not a proof, though).

- (4) Show that prime ideals are radical.

Radical ideals are ideals  $I$  satisfying  $I = \text{rad } I$ .

Let  $P$  be a prime ideal. Since  $P \subseteq \text{rad } P$ , we only have to show that  $\text{rad } P \subseteq P$ . Let  $r \in \text{rad } P$ . Then  $r^n \in P$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $r^n = r \cdots r \in P$  and  $P$  is prime, one of its factors must be in  $P$ . But this implies  $r \in P$ , and we are done.