

# ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

## MIDTERM 1

- (1) (15 P) Let  $P, Q, R, S$  be distinct points in the projective plane over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and assume that no three of them are collinear. Show that there is exactly one nonsingular conic  $\mathcal{C}$  with the following properties:
- (a) the points  $P, Q$  and  $R$  lie on  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
  - (b) the tangents to  $\mathcal{C}$  at  $P$  and  $Q$  intersect in  $S$ .

By the main theorem on projective transformations, four points in the projective plane, no three of which are collinear, can be moved to  $[1 : 0 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 1 : 0]$ ,  $[0 : 0 : 1]$ , and  $[1 : 1 : 1]$ . In particular, we can move  $P, Q, R, S$  to these points.

The general equation of a conic  $\mathcal{C}$  is

$$aX^2 + bXY + cY^2 + dXZ + eYZ + fZ^2 = 0.$$

Since  $[1 : 0 : 0] \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$  we must have  $a = 0$ . Similarly,  $[0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1] \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$  shows that  $c = f = 0$ . Thus the conic has equation

$$bXY + dXZ + eYZ = 0.$$

Since  $bde = 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{C}$  is singular, we have  $bde \neq 0$ . Rescaling shows that we may put  $b = 1$ , hence the equation of our conic is

$$XY + dXZ + eYZ = 0.$$

Now let us compute the tangents at  $P$  and  $Q$ . We find

$$\begin{array}{lll} F_X = Y + dZ & F_X(P) = 0 & F_X(Q) = 1 \\ F_Y = X + eZ & F_Y(P) = 1 & F_Y(Q) = 0 \\ F_Z = dX + eY & F_Z(P) = d & F_Z(Q) = e. \end{array}$$

Thus the tangents  $t_P$  and  $t_Q$  are given by the equations

$$t_P : Y + dZ = 0 \quad t_Q : X + eZ = 0.$$

Since  $t_P \cap t_Q = R$ , we find  $1 + d = 1 + e = 0$ , and this shows that the conic (in the new coordinates) is  $XY - XZ - YZ = 0$ .

- (2) (15 P) Show that if  $y^2 = x^3 + k$  for nonzero  $x, y, k \in \mathbb{C}[T]$ , then  $\deg x \leq 2(\deg k - 1)$  and  $\deg y \leq 3(\deg k - 1)$ . Also show that these bounds are best possible.

Let  $A = y^2, B = -x^3$  and  $C = -k$ . Then  $\deg \text{rad } ABC \leq \deg x + \deg y + \deg k$ . Mason's theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} \deg A &= 2 \deg y \leq \deg x + \deg y + \deg k - 1, \\ \deg B &= 3 \deg x \leq \deg x + \deg y + \deg k - 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}\deg y &\leq \deg x + \deg k - 1, \\ 2 \deg x &\leq \deg y + \deg k - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the first into the second inequality shows  $\deg x \leq 2(\deg k - 1)$ . Plugging this into the first inequality yields  $\deg y \leq 3(\deg k - 1)$ . In particular, there are no nonconstant solutions with constant  $k \neq 0$ .

The smallest possible nonconstant solutions must have  $\deg k = 2$ ,  $\deg x = 2$  and  $\deg y = 3$ . Trying  $y = T^3 + aT$  and  $x = T^2 + b$  gives  $k = y^2 - x^3 = (2a - 3b)T^4 + (a^2 - 3b^2)T^2 - b^3$ , hence we should pick  $a = 3$  and  $b = 2$ ; this gives  $x = T^2 + 2$ ,  $y = T^3 + 3T$  and  $k = -3T^2 - 8$ .

- (3) (15 P) Give explicit examples of reducible cubic curves with exactly one singular point of a) multiplicity 2; b) multiplicity 3. Prove your claims.

The standard example of a cubic with a singular point of multiplicity 2 is  $y^2 = x^3 + x^2$ ; but this curve is irreducible. A reducible cubic consists either of three lines or a line and a conic. A triple of lines through the origin is an example of a reducible cubic with a point of multiplicity 3 (any line that is not a component of  $C$  and that goes through the origin must intersect the origin with multiplicity 3 since there is no other point of intersection (Bezout)). Similarly, a cubic consisting of the unit circle with center  $(0, 0)$  and the line  $x = 1$  has a point of multiplicity 2 in  $(1, 0)$ : in fact, it has multiplicity  $\geq 2$  since it is singular (any point lying on two components is singular), and multiplicity  $\leq 2$  since the line  $y = 0$  intersects this cubic in  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$ .

Of course you can also compute the multiplicities algebraically after writing down equations. In the first case, take  $C : XY(Y - X) = 0$ , in the second  $(X^2 + Y^2 - 1)(X - 1) = 0$ .

- (4) (15 P) Show that the curve  $C : y^2 = x^4 + 2x^2 + 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2\mathbb{C}$  has a unique singular point  $P$ . Determine the intersection multiplicity  $I_P(C, L)$  of  $C$  and each line  $L$  through  $P$ . What is the multiplicity of  $P$ ? What are the tangents to  $C$  at  $P$ ?

The homogenized equation is  $Y^2Z^2 - X^4 - 2X^2Z^2 - 2Z^4 = 0$ , and we find

$$\begin{aligned}F_X &= -4X^3 - 4XZ^2 \\ F_Y &= 2YZ^2 \\ F_Z &= 2Y^2Z - 4X^2Z - 8Z^3\end{aligned}$$

The second equation shows that singular points must satisfy  $y = 0$  or  $z = 0$ . Assume first that  $y = 0$ . If  $x = 0$ , then the last equation implies  $z = 0$ ; thus  $x \neq 0$ . Then the first equation shows that  $x^2 + z^2 = 0$ . Since  $z = 0$  would imply  $x = 0$ , the last equation shows  $x^2 + 2z^2 = 0$ . But then  $z = 0$  and  $x = 0$ : contradiction.

Thus singular points are at infinity. Putting  $z = 0$  shows  $x = 0$ , hence the only possible singular point is  $P = [0 : 1 : 0]$ .

Now consider the lines through  $P$ . The line  $L : aX + bY + cZ = 0$  goes through  $P$  if and only if  $b = 0$ ; thus lines through  $P$  are described by  $L : aX + cZ = 0$ .

If  $a \neq 0$ , then we may assume that  $a = 1$ ; intersecting  $X + cZ = 0$  with  $\mathcal{C}$  gives  $Y^2Z^2 - c^4Z^4 - 2c^2Z^4 - 2Z^4 = 0$ , i.e.,  $Z^2(Y^2 - Z^2(2 + 2c^2 + c^4)) = 0$ . Thus all these lines intersect  $\mathcal{C}$  with multiplicity 2.

If  $a = 0$ , then  $L : Z = 0$ . Intersecting with  $\mathcal{C}$  gives  $X^4 = 0$ , hence this line intersects  $\mathcal{C}$  with multiplicity 4 at  $P$ , and is the tangent to  $\mathcal{C}$  at  $P$ .

Thus  $P$  has multiplicity  $m_P(\mathcal{C}) = 2$ , and  $Z = 0$  is the only tangent at  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $P$ .

- (5) (15 P) Parametrize the conic  $x^2 + xy + 2y^2 = 1$ .

Using  $P = (-1, 0)$  as our base point, the lines through  $P$  have the form  $y = t(x + 1)$ . Intersecting with the conic gives  $x^2 + tx(x + 1) + 2t^2(x + 1)^2 - 1 = 0$ . Factoring out  $x + 1$  (why do so many of you insist on solving quadratic equations using formulas involving square roots?) shows  $(x + 1)[x - 1 + tx + 2t^2(x + 1)] = 0$ , and this leads to the parametrization

$$x = \frac{1 - 2t^2}{1 + t + 2t^2}, \quad y = \frac{t^2 + 2t}{1 + t + 2t^2}.$$

- (6) (10 P) Consider the map  $f : \mathbb{P}^1K \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3K; [x : y] \mapsto [x^3 : x^2y : xy^2 : y^3]$ . Show that  $f$  is well defined, injective, and not surjective.

Well defined: we have  $f([\lambda x : \lambda y]) = [\lambda^3x^3 : \lambda^3x^2y : \lambda^3xy^2 : \lambda^3y^3] = [x^3 : x^2y : xy^2 : y^3] = f([x : y])$ .

Injective: Assume that  $f([x : y]) = f([r : s])$ . If  $y = 0$ , then  $f([x : 0]) = [x^3 : 0 : 0 : 0] = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0]$  since  $x \neq 0$  if  $y = 0$ . From  $f([x : y]) = f([r : s])$  we deduce that  $s = 0$ , and then  $[r : s] = [1 : 0] = [x : y]$ . If  $y \neq 0$ , then  $y = 1$ , and this implies  $s \neq 0$ , hence we may assume that  $y = s = 1$ . Then  $f([x : 1]) = f([r : 1])$  implies  $[x^3 : x^2 : x : 1] = [r^3 : r^2 : r : 1]$ ; now clearly  $x = r$  (compare the fourth and the third coordinate).

Not surjective: the point  $[0 : 1 : 1 : 0]$  clearly is not in the image of  $f$ .

- (7) (15 P) Let  $\mathcal{F} : f(x, y) = 0$  be a plane algebraic curve, and assume that  $f = gh$  for nonconstant polynomials. Show that, for any line  $\mathcal{C}_l : l(x, y) = 0$  and a point  $P$  on  $\mathcal{C}_l \cap \mathcal{C}_f$  we have  $I_P(l, f) = I_P(l, g) + I_P(l, h)$  (here  $I_P(l, f)$  denotes the intersection multiplicity of  $l$  and  $f$  at  $P$ ).

By a projective transformation we may move the point  $P$  to the origin  $(0, 0)$  of the affine plane. Let  $y = mx$  or  $x = 0$  denote a line  $l$  through  $P$ ; the multiplicity of intersection of  $\mathcal{C}_l$  with  $\mathcal{C}_g$  is  $r$  if  $g(x, mx) = x^rG(x)$  with  $G(0) \neq 0$  (or  $g(0, y) = y^rG(y)$  with  $G(0) \neq 0$  if the line is given by  $x = 0$ ). Similarly, the multiplicity of intersection of  $\mathcal{C}_l$  with  $\mathcal{C}_h$  is  $s$  if  $h(x, mx) = x^sH(x)$  with  $H(0) \neq 0$  (or  $h(0, y) = y^sH(y) \dots$ ). Thus  $f(x, mx) = g(x, mx)h(x, mx) = x^{r+s}G(x)H(x)$  with  $G(0)H(0) \neq 0$ : this proves that  $\mathcal{C}_l$  and  $\mathcal{C}_f$  intersect with multiplicity  $r + s$  at  $P$ .