



Bilkent University  
Department of Mathematics

PROBLEM OF THE MONTH

April 2021

**Problem:**

There are two boxes one coloured red and other coloured white. At the beginning each box contains 500 beads and there are infinitely many spare beads. Alice and Bob play a game alternatively making moves, Alice making first move. A player making a move performs one of the four actions: adds a bead to the red box ( $R^+$ ), removes a bead from the red box ( $R^-$ ), adds a bead to the white box ( $W^+$ ), removes a bead from the white box ( $W^-$ ) depending on the last move of her/his opponent and according to the following table: (at the first move Alice can choose any one of the four actions):

Last move	$R^+$	$R^-$	$W^+$	$W^-$
Alice's move	$R^+$ or $W^+$	$R^-$ or $W^-$	$W^+$ or $R^-$	$W^-$ or $R^+$
Bob's move	$R^+$ or $W^-$	$R^-$ or $W^+$	$W^+$ or $R^+$	$W^-$ or $R^-$

A player making 1001 or 0 beads in any box loses the game. Who wins?

Note: Due to the table after Bob's move  $R^+$  Alice can move either  $R^+$  or  $W^+$ , after Alice's move  $R^+$  Bob can move either  $R^+$  or  $W^-$ , ...

**Solution:** Answer: Alice wins.

Let us consider the  $n$ -game when at the beginning each box contains  $n$  beads and a player making  $2n + 1$  or 0 beads in any box loses the game. We will show that Alice wins the  $n$ -game for any  $n$ .

The position when the white and red boxes contain  $a$  and  $b$  beads respectively will be denoted by  $(a, b)$ . The positions  $(1, p)$ ,  $(q, 1)$ ,  $(2n, r)$  and  $(s, 2n)$  will be called *boundary* positions. Note that Alice always makes moves from positions  $(a, b)$  with  $a + b = 0 \pmod{2}$  and Bob always makes moves from positions  $(a, b)$  with  $a + b = 1 \pmod{2}$ .

*Lemma.* The player firstly making a move to any boundary position loses the game.

Proof: Suppose that Bob makes firstly a move to some boundary position. After that Alice will always choose a move to a neighbouring boundary position and consequently Bob will have only one legal move to some neighbouring boundary position. The process will terminate at either  $(1, 2n)$  or  $(2n, 1)$ . At this position the only legal move of Bob is a losing move. If Alice makes firstly a move to some boundary situation then Bob wins by the similar strategy terminating at either  $(1, 1)$  or  $(2n, 2n)$ . Done.

For example, suppose that Bob makes firstly a move to some boundary position  $(q, 1)$ . Then Alice makes a move to  $(q - 1, 1)$ , Bob is forced to move to  $(q - 2, 1)$ , Alice makes a move to  $(q - 3, 1)$ , and so on until they reach the position  $(1, 1)$ . Since  $1 + 1 = 0 \pmod{2}$  Alice makes a move to  $(1, 2)$ , Bob is forced to move to  $(1, 3)$ , and so on until they reach the position  $(1, 2n)$ . Since  $1 + 2n + 2 = 1 \pmod{2}$  Bob should make a losing move. The remaining cases starting at other boundary positions are similar.

By induction over  $n$ , we will show that Alice wins the  $n$ -game and her last move is either  $(1, 2n - 1) \rightarrow (1, 2n)$  or  $(2n, 2) \rightarrow (2n, 1)$ .

If  $n = 1$  than Alice wins by making a move  $(1, 1) \rightarrow (1, 2)$ .

Suppose that Alice wins the  $n$ -game. Consider the  $(n + 1)$ -game. The winning strategy of Alice is the following. She is trying to apply the strategy of  $n$ -game and make her last move as either  $((1, 2n - 1) \rightarrow (1, 2n)$  or  $(2n, 2) \rightarrow (2n, 1)$ . If Bob makes a move to one of the boundary positions  $(1, p), (q, 1), (2n + 2, r), (s, 2n + 2)$  before Alice completes the  $n$ -game strategy, then wins by the lemma. Otherwise they reach either  $(1, 2n)$  or  $(2n, 1)$  and Bob is forced to make a move to some boundary position and again loses by the lemma.