## ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY

## HOMEWORK 5

(1) Compute all reduced forms of discriminant  $\Delta = -4 \cdot 17$ .

Since  $A < \sqrt{4 \cdot 17/3} < 5$ , we have to consider A = 1, 2, 3, 4. Oberve that  $B \equiv \Delta \equiv 0 \mod 2$ . We find the principal form  $Q_0 = (1, 0, 17)$ , as well as  $Q_2 = (2, 2, 9)$ ,  $Q_1 = (3, 2, 6)$  and  $Q_1 = (3, -2, 6)$ . Note that (2, -2, 9) is not reduced.

Also observe that we must have  $\operatorname{Cl}(-4 \cdot 17) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ : the class number is 4, and the classes of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_3$  are inverses of each other and distinct (distinct reduced forms give distinct classes). Thus the class [(3, 2, 6)] must have order > 2.

(2) Use Shanks' method to compute the composition table for all reduced forms of discriminant  $\Delta = -4 \cdot 17$ .

The principal form  $Q_0$  is the neutral element. Thus we need to deal with the classes of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$ . We have already seen that  $[Q_1]$  generates the class group, and that  $3[Q_1] = -[Q_1] = [Q_3]$ ; this implies  $2[Q_1] = [Q_2]$ , as well as  $[Q_1] + [Q_2] = [Q_1] + 2[Q_1] = 3[Q_1] = [Q_3]$  etc. Let us now check via composition that we really have  $2[Q_1] = [Q_2]$ .

We find  $A_1 = A_3 = 3$ , B = 2, d = gcd(3, 3, 2) = 1; the cube



gives the equations  $2\alpha - 3\gamma = 6$ ,  $2\beta - 3\gamma = 6$ , and  $3\alpha - 3\beta = 0$ . Thus  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = -2$  gives a solution, and the resulting form is  $(9, -2, 2) \sim (2, 2, 9)$ . Note that we have proved  $2[Q_1] + [(2, 2, 9)] = 0$ , so strictly speaking we have  $2[Q_1] = -[(2, 2, 9)] = [(2, -2, 9)]$ ; but since  $(2, -2, 9) \sim (2, 2, 9)$ , this does not matter here.

By the way: the fact that  $2[Q_2] = Q_0$  can be checked immediately: here we have  $A_1 = A_2 = B = 2$ , hence d = 2 and  $A_3 = A_1A_2/d^2 = 1$ . Forms with leading coefficient 1 are equivalent to the principal form (reduction of *B* shows that the form is equivalent to (1, 0, \*) or (1, 1, \*)).

You can check your calculations with pari. The commands

x = Qfb(3,2,6) y=qfbcompraw(x,x) qfbred(y)

## HOMEWORK 5

give y = (9, 2, 2), and (2, 2, 9) as the final result.

(3) Compute all reduced forms of discriminant  $\Delta = -47$ .

Here A < 4, and we easily find the reduced forms (1, 1, 12),  $(2, \pm 1, 6)$ and  $(3, \pm 1, 4)$ . (Side remark: with a little bit of experience you can predict the existence of the last pair like this: since  $2 \cdot 6 = 3 \cdot 4$ , the forms  $(2, \pm 1, 6)$ and  $(3, \pm 1, 4)$  have the same discriminant. For example, (2, 1, 10) is a form of discriminant -89, and so is (4, 1, 5)). Thus the class number is 5, and the class group is cyclic, generated by any of the classes different from the principal class.

(4) Let Q = (2, 1, 6) denote a form of discriminant -47. Show that  $5[Q] = [Q_0]$  in the class group of forms. (Hint: compute 2[Q] and 4[Q] using composition.)

I claim that  $2Q \sim (4, 1, 3) = (3, -1, 4)$  and  $4Q \sim (9, 5, 2) \sim (2, -1, 6)$ , hence 4[Q] = -[Q] and thus 5[Q] = 0.

In fact, for computing 2Q we set up the cube



The equations are  $\alpha - 2\gamma = 6$ ,  $\beta - 2\gamma = 6$ , (it is sufficient to work with the equations involving  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ; they are easier to derive from the cube than the one involving  $(B_2 - B_1)/2$ ) hence we can take  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\gamma = 3$ . This gives  $A_3 = A_1A_2 = 4$ ,  $B_2 = 1$  and  $C_3 = 3$ , hence 2[Q] + [(4, -1, 3)] = 0, or 2[Q] = [(4, 1, 3)] = [(3, -1, 4)].

The computation of 2[(3, -1, 4)] gives  $(9, 5, 2) \sim (2, -5, 9) \sim (2, -1, 6)$  as claimed.